

# 1. Problem: Branching processes (10 points)

The so-called *branching process* models a chain reaction occuring in successive generations. Initially, for the zeroth generation, we start with a single event. This event causes in the first generation  $\hat{r}$  further events, where  $\hat{r}$  is a random non-negative integer with distribution  $p_r$ . If  $\hat{r} = 0$ , the process stops. Otherwise, every direct descendant causes in the next (second) generation further events according to the same distribution  $p_r$  and so on. The events of each generation act independently of each other.

Whereas an obvious example for such a process are nuclear chain reactions, originally this process has been invented by F. Galton to study the survival of family names (hence the name *Galton-Watson process*). Another example is the cascading failure of components like power lines which is induced by an overloading occuring after the initial failure of a line.

# 1.1. Recursion relation

Let  $\hat{y}_n$  be the number of events, i.e., the size of the *n*-th generation with generating function  $f_n(z) := f_{\hat{y}_n}(z) = \langle z^{\hat{y}_n} \rangle$ . In particular,  $y_0 = 1$  in the 0-th generation. Furthermore,  $f_1(z) = f(z)$ , where  $f(z) = \langle z^{\hat{r}} \rangle = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} p_r z^r$  is the generating function corresponding to the variable  $\hat{r}$ . Derive from the description of the branching process the recursive relation

$$f_n(z) = f(f_{n-1}(z)).$$
 (1)

Hint: The number of descendants in the *n*-th generation can be considered as the sum of the descendants of the members of the first generation ( $\rightarrow$  compound process).

# 1.2. Probability for a finite cascade

An important question is whether the branching process continues forever or stops after a finite number of generations, i.e., whether  $\hat{y}_n = 0$  for an arbitrary n.

#### 1.2.1. Recursion formula

How can the probability  $q_n := P(\hat{y}_n = 0)$  that the process stops at or before the *n*-th generation be calculated from the generating function  $f_n(z)$ ? Derive from Eq. (1) a recursion formula for the  $q_n$ . What is the initial condition  $q_1$ ?

# 1.2.2. Termination of branching process

Excluding the extreme cases  $p_0 = 0$  and  $p_0 = 1$  derive from the form of the generating function f(z) that the  $q_n$  approach a limiting value  $q \leq 1$  satisfying

$$q = f(q) \,. \tag{2}$$

Show geometrically that equation (2) has the unique solution q = 1 if and only if the first derivative f'(1) of the characteristic function satisfies  $f'(1) \leq 1$ . Hint: The characteristic function is convex. Why?

What does condition (2) yield for the special case of the variable  $\hat{r}$  being a Poisson distribution with mean a?

#### 1.2.3. Mean size of generations

Show that the expected size of the n-th generation is given by

$$\langle \hat{y}_n \rangle = f'_n(1).$$

Derive a chain rule for  $\langle \hat{y}_n \rangle$  and show that

$$\langle \hat{y}_n \rangle = \mu^n,$$

where  $\mu$  is the mean value of  $\hat{r}$ . Why is it intuitively clear that the branching process dies out for f'(1) < 1?

# 2. Problem: Box-Muller algorithm for the generation of Gaussian random variables (no points)

For the generation of random numbers according to a certain distribution on a computer, one usually starts with uniformly distributed pseudo-random numbers<sup>1</sup> and then does a suitable transformation to the desired random variable. One of the most famous methods, the so-called Box-Muller transform, deals with the generation of Gaussian random numbers.

Show that from two independent uniformly distributed in the interval (0, 1] random numbers  $\hat{x}_1$  and  $\hat{x}_2$ , one obtains two independent Gaussian variables  $\hat{z}_1$  and  $\hat{z}_2$  with zero mean and unit variance by means of the transformation

$$\hat{z}_1 = \sqrt{-2\ln\hat{x}_1}\,\cos(2\pi\hat{x}_2) \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{z}_2 = \sqrt{-2\ln\hat{x}_1}\,\sin(2\pi\hat{x}_2) \tag{4}$$

Hint: Write the joint probability density of the transformed variables using a two-dimensional  $\delta$ -function and then evaluate this expression using the Jacobian  $\frac{\partial(x_1,x_2)}{\partial(z_1,z_2)}$  of the transformation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pseudo-random number generators are a whole topic by itself, which we do not cover here. For an excellent overview see, e.g., Chapter 3 in Donald E. Knuth, The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2: Seminumerical Algorithms, 3rd edition (Addison-Wesley, 1997)